DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 435 954 CG 029 660

AUTHOR Lin, Yi-Guang; McKeachie, Wilbert J.

TITLE College Student Intrinsic and/or Extrinsic Motivation and

Learning.

PUB DATE 1999-08-00

NOTE 10p.; Paper presented at the Annul Conference of the

American Psychological Association (107th, Boston, MA,

August 20-24, 1999).

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Academic Achievement; *College Students; Educational

Objectives; Higher Education; Incentives; Learning;

*Learning Motivation; Learning Theories; *Performance Based

Assessment; Psychology; *Self Motivation

IDENTIFIERS Intrinsic Extrinsic Classroom Orientation; Motivated

Strategies for Learning Questionnaire

ABSTRACT

This paper investigates the joint effects of intrinsic and extrinsic goals on college students' learning in an introductory psychology course, a biology course, and several social science courses. The study questioned whether higher levels of motivation lead to better student performance. College students were surveyed using the Intrinsic Goal Orientation and Extrinsic Goal Orientation scales of the Motivation Strategies for Learning Questions (MSLQ). Results indicate that students with a medium level of extrinsic motivation are more likely to perform well (in terms of their course grades) than students with either lower or higher levels of extrinsic motivation. Students who combined high intrinsic motivation with medium extrinsic motivation achieve particularly well. The paper suggests that teachers need not eliminate all motivation for good grades in order to achieve both cognitive and lifelong learning goals. (Contains 10 references and one table.) (JDM)



College Student Intrinsic and/or Extrinsic Motivation and Learning

Yi-Guang Lin and Wilbert J. McKeachie

The University of Michigan

<u>Abstract</u>

Problem: Do higher levels of motivation lead to better performance?

Method: We investigated the joint effects of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation as assessed by the Intrinsic Goal Orientation and Extrinsic Goal Orientation scales of the Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire (MSLQ) in two samples of students' performance in a "Learning to Learn" course and in a third sample of nine classes in three disciplines.

Results: Students with a medium level of extrinsic motivation are more likely to perform well (in terms of their course grades) than students with either lower or higher levels of extrinsic motivation. Those who couple high intrinsic motivation with medium extrinsic motivation achieve particularly well.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

- CENTER (ERIC)

 This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Paper presented at the 107th Annual Meeting of the American Psychological Association, Boston, August, 1999



College Student Intrinsic and/or Extrinsic Motivation and Learning

In recent years a plethora of bi-polar terms have been proposed to describe student motivation and goals. Probably the oldest of these dichotomies is intrinsic vs. extrinsic motivation.

Extrinsic motivation has had a bad name ever since Harlow () reported that monkeys who had enjoyed solving puzzles would, after being rewarded with food for each puzzle solved, no longer solve puzzles when they were not given the extrinsic reward of food. Monkeys who had not been given food continued to enjoy solving puzzles.

Are extrinsic and intrinsic motives necessarily incompatible? Certainly much research with humans since Harlow has found results similar to his. (Amabile, 1979; Harackiewicz, Manderlink & Sansone, 1984). And William Perry Harvard was fond of quoting the student who said, "I can't afford to get interested in this course because I have to get a good grade".

One of the goals of higher education is to increase motivation for life-long learning. If extrinsic motivation is really so damaging, our task is almost hopeless. Michael Pressley and his colleagues (Pressley et.al., 1998) found that every student in their interview study reported that getting a good grade was their overriding motivation in courses. To quote Pressley et al. (p353), "Students made it quite clear that all other goals were secondary."

Other theorists (e.g. Dweck, 1986; McKeachie, 1961) have proposed that college students have multiple goals in learning. Students want to master expert knowledge in their special fields. At the same time, they also want to obtain good grades in order to demonstrate their competence, a performance goal. Mastery and performance goals may play complementary roles in motivating student learning. However Harackiewicz, Barrron, Carter, Lehto and Elliot (1997) have shown that mastery and performance goals have different correlates. Harackiewicz, Barron and Elliot (1998) found that while mastery goals were related to interest, performance goals were more predictive of final grades in a



psychology course. These findings, taken together with Urdan and Maehr's work (1996) with social goals for achievement, provide evidence for their theoretical standpoint that college students have multiple goals for learning. But are extrinsic motivation and intrinsic motivation necessarily incompatible? Our goal as teachers is to increase intrinsic motivation for lifelong learning. Yet it is unrealistic to think that we can persuade students that grades don't matter. The question is whether there may be optimal joint levels of these two goals to facilitate learning.

The present study investigated the joint effects of intrinsic and extrinsic goals on students' learning in an introductory psychology course and in several biology, English psychology and other social science courses. Can extrinsic motivation combine effectively with intrinsic motivation in affecting learning or are the two motivational orientations incompatible? Recognizing that few students are unmotivated for grades, we hypothesized that a medium level of extrinsic motivation in combination with high level of intrinsic motivation would be more effective in facilitating learning than either low or high levels of extrinsic motivation.

Method

Participants

The participants in this study consist of three samples of college students. The first sample had 75 students enrolled in a "Learning to Learn" course at a large research university. The second sample had 73 students in the same course in the following year. The third sample had 432 students of nine classes in three disciplines: biology, English literature, and social sciences in a liberal arts college, a comprehensive university and a community college.

Procedure

The Intrinsic Goal Orientation and Extrinsic Goal Orientation scales of the Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire (MSLQ) (Pintrich, Smith, Garcia, & McKeachie, 1993) were used to assess students' intrinsic and extrinsic motivation. The



Intrinsic Goal Orientation scale consists of 4 items. One of the items is: "I prefer work that is challenging." Another item is "I prefer course work that arouses my curiosity, even if it is difficult." The Extrinsic Goal Orientation scale has 2 items, one of which is "Getting good grades is my main goal for this course." The other item is "A good grade in this course is more important to me than what I learn from the course."

The participants completed a self-scored version of MSLQ near the end of semester with a 5-point scale ranging from 1 "not at all true of me" to 5 "very true of me". The MSLQ scales used in the third sample had a 7-point scale ranging from 1 "not at all true of me" to 7 "very true of me". The course grades of each class in the third sample were standardized with a mean of 50.0 and a standard deviation of 10.0 in order to aggregate the data for analysis. The scores of both scales were divided into low, medium and high levels to form a 3 x 3 table. The means of course grades of nine cells were computed.

Results

Tables 1, 2 and 3 present the cell means of course grades of joint effects of the intrinsic and extrinsic goal orientation. In all three samples students with a medium level of extrinsic motivation and high intrinsic motivation have higher mean course grades than students with either low or high extrinsic motivation.

Insert Tables 1, 2 and 3 about here

Conclusions

As our results indicate, a moderate level of extrinsic motivation is better than a high level, while higher levels of intrinsic motivation are positively related to grades. These results suggest the importance of considering curvilinear relationships and interactions when generalizing about the effects of different goals or motives on performance. They also reveal that teachers need not eliminate all motivation for good grades in order to achieve both cognitive and lifelong learning goals.



References

- Amabile, T. M. (1979). Effects of external evaluation on artistic creativity. <u>Journal of</u>
 Personality and Social Psychology, 37, 221-223.
- Dweck, C. S. (1986). Motivational processes affecting learning. <u>American Psychologist</u>, 41, 1041-1048.
- Harackiewicz, J. M., Barron, K. E., Carter, S. M., Lehto, A. T. & Elliot, A. J. (1997).
 Predictors and consequences of achievement goals in college classroom: Maintaining interest and making the grade. <u>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</u>, <u>73</u>, 1284-1295.
- Harackiewicz, J. M., Barron, K.E., & Elliot, A. J. (1998). Rethinking achievement goals: When are they adaptive for college students and why? Educational Psychologist, 33, 1-21.
- Harackiewicz, J. M., & Elliot, A. J. (1993). Achievement goals and intrinsic motivation. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 65, 904-915.
- Harlow, H. F., Harlow, M. V., & Meyer, D. R. (1950). Learning motivated by a manipulation drive. Journal of Experimental Psychology, 40, 228-234.
- McKeachie, W. J. (1961). Motivation, teaching methods, and college learning. In M. R. Jones (Ed.) Nebraska symposium on motivation (pp.111-142). Lincoln, NE: University of Nebraska Press.
- Pintrich, P.R., Smith, D. A. F., Garcia, T., & McKeachie, W. J. (1993). Reliability and predictive validity of the Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire (MSLQ).

 <u>Educational and Psychological Measurement</u>, <u>53</u>, 801-813.
- Pressley, M., Van Etten, S., Yokoi, L., Freebern, G., & Van Meter, P. (1998) The metacognition of college studentship: A grounded theory approach. In Hacker, D.J.,
 Dunlosky, J., & Graesser, A.C. (Eds.) Metacognition in Educational Theory and Practice. Mahwa, NJ: Erlbaum.



Urdan, T. C., & Maehr, M. L. (1995). Beyond a two-goal theory of motivation and achievement: A case for social goals. Review of Educational Research, 65, 213-243.



Table 1. Means and Standard Deviations of Course Grades of Sample 1

Extrinsic Motivation

		Low	Medium	High	
	Low	7.0	6.5	5.5	5.9
		(1.0)	(1.5)	(2.7)	
Intrinsic		N=3	N=4	N=11	
Motivation	Medium	6.3	6.8	4.4	5.9
		(2.7)	(1.6)	(2.8)	
		N=6	N=9	N=8	
	High	6.6	7.2	6.7	6.8
		(2.0)	(2.0)	(1.7)	
		N=11	N=10	N=11	
		6.6	6.9	5.6	6.3
				l	I

Course grade: 1 = D, 9 = A+



Table 1. Means and Standard Deviations of Course Grades of Sample 2

Extrinsic Motivation

		Low	Medium	High	
	Low	5.5	6.0	6.1	6.0
		(0.6)	(2.4)	(1.3)	
Intrinsic		N=4	N=6	N=13	
Motivation	Medium	6.1	8.0	6.0	6.8
		(2.1)	(1.0)	(2.9)	
		N=7	N=7	N=5	
	High	6.5	7.1	6.6	6.7
		(1.6)	(0.7)	(1.7)	
		N=13	N=10	N=8	
		6.3	7.1	6.2	6.5

Course grade: 1 = D, 9 = A+



Table 1. Means and Standard Deviations of Course Grades of Sample 3

Extrinsic Motivation

		Low	Medium	High	
	Low	45.8	49.1	51.0	48.4
		(9.5)	(11.8)	(8.8)	
Intrinsic		N=77	N=21	N=69	
Motivation	Medium	49.7	50.5	50.2	50.2
		(10.5)	(9.2)	(9.0)	;
		N=38	N=46	N=39	
	High	50.4	55.8	52.3	52.5
		(11.1)	(7.9)	(7.6)	
		N=58	N=42	N=42	
		48.2	52.7	51.1	50.3
					J

Course grade is standardized score with mean = 50, standard deviation = 10.





U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) National Library of Education (NLE) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:	
Title: College Student Sultinsic and or Estrinsec Mon	twation and Learning
Author(s): Yi Guary Lin and Th. J. M. Keachie	
Corporate Source: U. of Michegan	Publication Date:
DEDPODUCTION RELEASE.	

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if

reproduction release is granted, one of the follow If permission is granted to reproduce and diss of the page.	ving notices is affixed to the document. eminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE o	f the following three options and sign at the bottom
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
sample	Sample	sample
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
1	2A	2B
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
T .	†	†
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

	I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) none; as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquíries.	media by persons other than E	RIC employees and its s	system
į		YiG.	LIN	
Sign here,→	Signature: The LM Keachee, Juguery Lins	Printed Name/Position/Title: W.T., PROF, of Psycho	MKERCHIE 4064	
please	Organization/Agitess: Charles School of Education	(734) 936-2755	F1738) 764-35	20
<u>(</u>	194 E Unio of Mich. Contextor MI	É-Mail Address:	Date: 12/13/99	
by ERIC	APA 1999	· ·	, , , ,	(over)

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

lisher/Distributor:	
dress:	
ce:	
REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:	
he right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate na dress:	ime and
ne:	
lress:	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

ERIC/CASS

University of North Carolina at Greensboro

201 Ferguson Building, PO Box 26171

Greensboro, NC 27402-6171

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility 1100 West Street, 2nd Floor Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

> Telephone: 301-497-4080 Toll Free: 800-799-3742 FAX: 301-953-0263 e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

PREVIOUS VERSIONS OF THIS FORM ARE OBSOLETE.